

United States Patent and Trademark Office

~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/613,875	07/03/2003	Stephen S. Miller (NETX.P102 6292		
30554 SHEMWELL I	7590 07/02/200 MAHAMEDI LLP	EXAMINER			
4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			LUU, LE HIEN		
SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA	A 95129	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, .			2141		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
Office Action Summary		10/613,	875	MILLER ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Le H. Lu	ıu	2141			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>13 April 2007</i> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 66-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) 66-68 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) 69 is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	(*(c)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice No	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/613,875 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 66-69 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year

prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 66-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated

by Nakanura patent no. 5,548,789.

4. As to claim 66, Nakanura teaches a method for receiving e-mails, the method

comprising:

receiving a plurality of e-mails, including a first e-mail (col. 9 lines 8-20);

for at least the first e-mail, performing steps comprising:

separating a first e-mail header from a first e-mail message body (col. 7

lines 45-67);

storing said first e-mail message body in a first e-mail message body field

(col. 7 lines 45-67);

separating information contained in said first e-mail header into a plurality

of first e-mail header information items; storing said plurality of first e-mail header

information items in a plurality of corresponding header information fields (col. 9

lines 8-20; col. 9 lines 51-64);

Art Unit: 2141

creating a link between (i) information provided with at least one of said plurality of first e-mail header information fields, (ii) information provided with at least a second of said plurality of first e-mail header information fields, and (iii) the first e-mail message body stored in a first e-mail message body field (col. 8 line 60 – col. 9 line 20); and

storing linking information sufficient to identify said link between said at least one information provided with said first e-mail header information field, information provided with said at least first e-mail second header information field and first e-mail message body field (col. 8 line 60 – col. 9 line 20);

wherein the header information fields include at least all of the fields in a group consisting of a recipient field, a sender field, a copied recipient field, and a message subject field (col. 9 lines 8-20; col. 9 lines 51-64).

- 5. As to claims 67-68, Nakanura teaches said at least first e-mail header information field, said at least first e-mail second header information fields, and said linking information are provided in one or more tables; creating one or more links for linking information provided with the first e-mail header information field with information provided with the second and a third of said plurality of first e-mail header information fields (col. 8 line 60- col. 9 line 64).
- 6. Claim 69 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

Application/Control Number: 10/613,875 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985) *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 8. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 9. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 10. Claims 66-69 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 21-34 of

Application/Control Number: 10/613,875 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

copending Application No. 11/173,661. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed invention has similar limitations as the cited claims of the U.S. patent applications.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER